

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/986,070	11/07/2001	Takashi Okada	381NP/50632	4733	
75	590 03/22/2004		EXAMINER		
Crowell & Mo	Crowell & Moring LLP			PAREKH, ANKUR	
Intellectual Pro	perty Group				
P O Box 14300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, D	C 20044-4300		3681		
			DATE MAILED: 03/22/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	N .			
•	09/986,070	OKADA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	7			
	Ankur Parekh	3681				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	VIS SET TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may oly within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) Me, cause the application to become	v a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely NONTHS from the mailing date of this co e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 L</u>	December 2003.					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ Thi	·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 17-61</u> is/are pending in the	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,9,17-24 and 59-61</u> is/are allow	ed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7,10,11,25-39,43,46 and 49-58</u> is/ar	e rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>12,13,40-42,44,45,47 and 48</u> is/are						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers	•					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b)☐ objected	to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attacl	hed Office Action or form P1	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>application from the International Burea</li> </ul>	nts have been received. Ints have been received in Ints ority documents have be	n Application No	Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	•	not received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ew Summary (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PT0 	O-152)			

Art Unit: 3681

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This is the second Office action on the merits of Application No. 09/986,070, filed November 7, 2001. This action is in response to the amendment received December 8, 2003. Claims 1-13 and 17-61 are pending.

### Election/Restrictions

2. Claims 1-3 are generic and allowable. Accordingly, the restriction requirement as to the encompassed species is hereby withdrawn and claims 7, 10-13, and 25-58, directed to the species of Figs. 10 and 15, are no longer withdrawn from consideration since all of the claims to these species depend from or otherwise include each of the limitations of an allowed generic claim.

In view of the above noted withdrawal of the restriction requirement as to the linked species, applicant(s) are advised that if any claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable generic linking claim(s) be presented in a continuation or divisional application, such claims may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Once a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. See *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

## Claim Objections

3. Claims 12, 13, and 40-58 are objected to. Each of these claims is drawn to "[a]n automatic transmission." However, each of these claims recites features that are not a part of the automatic transmission in the relevant disclosed embodiment of the invention. Claims 40-58 recite features found in the embodiment of Fig. 15. In this embodiment the "motor generator" is far removed from the transmission. The "motor generator" drives the rear wheels—the "wheels to which the torque of the transmission is not transferred"—and the automatic transmission transfers the power from the engine to the front wheels. There is no connection between the automatic transmission and the motor generator. Therefore, how can applicant recite that the

Art Unit: 3681

motor generator and the "transferring mechanism" are elements of the automatic transmission?

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 7, 10, 11, 25-39, 43, 46, and 49-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 7 and 25-29 recite the limitation "the gear engaged with one of said drive gears fixed to said first axis" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 7 and 25-29 further recite the limitation "said gear" in line 7. What specific gear is applicant referring to? There are multiple gears previously recited in each one of these claims. For example, each one of these claims ultimately depends on one of claims 1-3. Each one of claims 1-3 recites at least three gears.

Regarding claims 43, 46, 49-58, each of these claims recites a "motor generator" in line 3. With respect to claims 43 and 46, it is not clear whether applicant is reciting an additional or second motor generator or is simply referring back to the motor generator that is already recited in line 5 of claim 7. With respect to the claims 49-53, it is not clear whether applicant is reciting an additional or second motor generator or is simply referring back to the motor generator that is already recited in line 5 of claims 25-29, respectively. With respect to the claims 53-58, it is not

Art Unit: 3681

clear whether applicant is reciting an additional or second motor generator or is simply referring back to the motor generator that is already recited in line 5 of claims 25-29, respectively (claims 30-34 respectively depend from claims 25-29).

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 2-6, 8, 9, 23, 24, 60, and 61 are allowed.
- 7. Claims 1, 17-22, and 59 were allowed in a previous action.

### Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

'Árt Unit: 3681

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ankur Parekh whose telephone number is (703) 305-3795. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor can be reached on (703) 308-0830. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ankur Parekh March 21, 2004